

APPLICATION NO.

10/042,894

P.O. BOX 552

27310

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

01/09/2002

07/28/2005

7590

7250 N.W. 62ND AVENUE

JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0552

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Jinrui Shi 1286 5731 EXAMINER PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC. BAUM, STUART F

1638

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/042,894	SHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stuart F. Baum	1638
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant the near near near near near near near nea	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 17 June 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 3	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-11 and 14-21</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-4,6-11 and 14-21</u> is/are reject	ed.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	na/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
See the attached detailed Office action for a	not of the certified copies flot	ieceiveu.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948'	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/4/2005.</li> </ol>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/042,894

Art Unit: 1638

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## RCE Acknowledgment

- 1. The request filed on 6/7/2005 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, based on parent Application No. 10/042,894 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-11, 14-21 including SEQ ID NO:7 encoding SEQ ID NO:8 are pending and are examined in the present office action.

Claims 2, 5, 12-13, and 22-68 have been canceled.

#### Enablement

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-11, and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claimed invention is not supported by an enabling disclosure taking into account the Wands factors. In re Wands, 858/F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). In re Wands lists a number of factors for determining whether or not undue experimentation would be required by

Application/Control Number: 10/042,894

Art Unit: 1638

one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention. These factors are: the quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples of the invention, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and the breadth of the claim.

The claims are drawn to an isolated nucleic acid comprising a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:8 wherein the polypeptide has inositol polyphosphate kinase (IPPK) activity, or a polynucleotide having the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:7, or a polynucleotide which is complementary to said polynucleotide, vector, expression cassette, non-human host cell, and plant transformed therewith, and method for modulating inositol polyphosphate kinase activity in a host cell or plant and method of decreasing the level of phosphorous in non-ruminant animal waste, comprising transforming said host cell or plant with said polynucleotide.

The Office interprets "a polynucleotide which is complementary to a polynucleotide" to read on a large number of sequences because the recitation reads on as little two base pairs.

Applicants isolated a cDNA clone from a maize cDNA library using primers comprising SEQ ID NO:26 and 27. The isolated cDNA of SEQ ID NO:7 encodes an IPPK protein of SEQ ID NO:8 (pages 36-39, Examples 1-3; and sequence listing). Applicants disclose the introduction of said cDNA sequence into immature maize embryos (pages 43-44, section B)

Because Applicants elected Group I drawn to nucleic acids in sense orientation and did not elect Group III drawn to antisense, the Office interprets this election to mean that Applicants' invention is drawn to over-expressing SEQ ID NO:7 in a plant to increase the activity of IPPK.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,894

Art Unit: 1638

Control Number: 10/042,02

Applicants have not reduced to practice the claimed invention. Applicants only disclosed the cloning of SEQ ID NO:7 encoding SEQ ID NO:8, but Applicants do not disclose the outcome of transforming said sequence into maize or any plant. Applicants do not report if the introduced nucleic acid increased the phytic acid content or if inositol polyphosphate kinase activity or levels were increased. Applicants do not teach by way of example the use of the claimed sequences to modulate IPPK activity or levels.

Applicants are claiming a series of plants overexpressing a nucleic acid sequence encoding inositol polyphosphate kinase operably linked in sense orientation with the intention of modulating the activity of said endogenous gene with an ultimate goal of reducing the phytate levels of seeds. But, based on Applicants' disclosure, overexpressing SEQ ID NO:7 will increase the activity of IPPK of SEQ ID NO:8, thereby increasing the phytate level, decreasing the level of non-phytate phosphorous of a plant and increasing the level of phosphorous in non-ruminant animal waste. In addition, the state-of-the-art teaches that "the biosynthetic route leading to phytate is complex and not completely understood" (Martino-Catt et al, March 6, 2001, U.S. Patent Number 6,197,561; column 2, lines 59-61). Bohnert et al (1995, The Plant Cell 7:1099-1111) teach that myo-inositol 1-phosphate is a substrate/starting material for many diverse products other than phytate (page 1102, Figure 1).

Applicants' claims are broadly drawn to any nucleic acid based on the recitation of "a polynucleotide which is complementary" as discussed above. It is clear to one of skill in the art that transforming a plant with "any" polynucleotide will not modulate the IPPK activity in any plant.

Art Unit: 1638

In the absence of guidance, undue trial and error experimentation would be required for one of ordinary skill in the art to screen through the multitude of non-exemplified sequences, either by using non-disclosed fragments of SEQ ID NO:7 as probes or by designing primers to undisclosed regions of SEQ ID NO:8 and isolating or amplifying fragments, subcloning the fragments, producing expression vectors and transforming plants therewith, in order to identify those, if any, that when over-expressed have inositol polyphosphate activity and exhibit 75% sequence identity with SEQ ID NO:7.

Therefore, given the breadth of the claims; the lack of guidance and examples; the unpredictability in the art; and the state-of-the-art as discussed above, undue experimentation would be required to practice the claimed invention, and therefore the invention is not enabled.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 3-4, and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van 4. Ooijen et al (January 1997, U.S. Patent 5,593,963).

The claims are drawn to a polynucleotide which is complementary to a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:8 or a polynucleotide having the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:7; a vector comprising said polynucleotide, an expression cassette comprising said polynucleotide operably linked to a promoter, a non-human host cell or plant comprising said polynucleotide, or wherein the plant is canola or a transgenic seed comprising said polynucleotide.

Art Unit: 1638

The Office interprets "a polynucleotide which is complementary to a polynucleotide" to read on a large number of sequences because the recitation reads on as little two base pairs.

Van Ooijen et al disclose an isolated nucleic acid sequence which comprises a complement sequence of Applicants' claimed sequences, as discussed above. Van Ooijen et al disclose an expression vector comprising said isolated nucleic acid, operably linked to the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV) 35S promoter, wherein the nucleic acid encodes a phytase (columns12-13, Example 4). Van Ooijen et al disclose transforming tobacco with a vector comprising said nucleic acid operably linked to the CaMV 35S promoter using *Agrobacterium* (column 14, Example 6). Van Ooijen et al also disclose transformed tobacco seeds comprising transforming tobacco seeds with a vector comprising said nucleic acid operably linked to a seed specific promoter and transformed rapeseed (canola) plants comprising said vector (columns 15-16, Examples 8 and 9). It would be inherent that the transformed rapeseed would produce transgenic seeds, and as such, Van Ooijen et al anticipate the claimed invention.

- 5. No claims are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart F. Baum whose telephone number is 571-272-0792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on 571-272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Stuart F. Baum Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1638 July 13, 2005

BLOOBETH MCBLEGAR